

Application No. 10/784,755  
Response to Restriction Requirement dated March 14, 2006  
Reply to Office Action mailed February 14, 2006

### REMARKS

Claims 1-23 were pending in the application at the time of the Office Action. The Office Action set forth an election requirement asserting that the claims are directed towards two distinct species identified by the following groups of figures:

Species I: claims 1-8 (i.e. Fig. 3)


Species II: claims 9-23 (i.e. Fig. 4)

The Office Action requested that applicant elect one of the species and identify the claims that read thereon. Applicant hereby elects without traverse Species II and agrees that claims 9-23 are directed to Species II 9-23. As such applicant has herein withdrawn claims 1-8.

Should the Examiner find any impediment to allowance of the claims which could be clarified in a telephonic interview, the Examiner is respectfully requested to initiate such an interview with the undersigned.

Dated this 14 day of March 2006.

Respectfully submitted,



R. BURNS ISRAELSEN  
Attorney for Applicant  
Registration No. 42,685  
Customer No. 022913  
Telephone: (801) 533-9800  
Fax: (801) 328-1707

RBI:rmh